Nordonia Hills City Schools Classified Employee Performance Report

| Nam | e | Position | | | |
|---|--|--|--|--|---|
| Build Name | ing e/Title of Evaluator | | | | Annual Report Probationary Report |
| Perfor | <i>mance Standards</i> : Check the p d by this evaluation. | | | employee's perform | ance over the period |
| 1. QUALITY OF WORK consists of the productivity and accuracy with which duties are performed. | | | | | |
| | A. THOROUGHNESS Work was complete and accurate. | B. PRODUCTIVITY Made effective use of | time; met deadlines. | C. JOB DESCRIPTION | ON et the standards of the job description. |
| | Work was acceptable; with occasional e | errors. Usually made good us | se of time; met most deadlines. | Tasks performed son description. | netimes met the standards of the job |
| [Com | Work was often unacceptable and cont numerous errors. ments: | ained 📃 Made poor use of tim | e; frequently missed deadlines. | | dom met the standards of the job |
| | IOB KNOWLEDGE consists of job | o information, application of | efficient methods, and ski | lls the employee has | for satisfactory performance. |
| | A. JOB INFORMATION Major aspects of job understood. | B. METHODS / T | | C. SKILLS | ills were strong. |
| | Knowledge of some aspects of the job v incomplete. | was Some methods/te | chniques were ineffective and ine | fficient. Some skills i | needed improvement. |
| | Knowledge about key aspects of the jol adequate. | b was not Methods/techniquinefficient. | ues used were ineffective and/or | Needed skil level. | ls were absent or below an acceptable |
| | ments: | | | | |
| | | - | n time and following abse C. ADHERENCE TO WO | | |
| | A. ATTENDANCE Attendance was satisfactory: absences. | B. PUNCTUALITY Reported to work on time always. | Always follows established Occasionally extends work | work schedule. | D. REPORTING Always reports absences / tardies timely and per policy or N/A (never absent/late). |
| | Extensive absences were due to: Abusive leave pattern indicated: | Usually on time. | Frequently extends work b | | Has not followed procedure at least |
| | M/F, Holiday adjacent, etc. | Frequently tardy. | | | twice. Seldom follows procedures. |
| Comm | ents: ORK CHARACTERISTICS are initi | ative and resourcefulness | adaptability and attitude | and ability to prior | itizo |
| | A. INITIATIVE and | B. ADAPTABILITY | C. ATTITUE | | D. PRIORITIZING |
| | RESOURCEFULNESS | Accepted new ideas/technolog | | eeling about work was | Prioritized so that changing |
| | Needs were identified and problems solved independently; was a "self-starter." | was flexible and dealt with stree professional manner. | ess in a presented | ttitude typically | demands were met. |
| | Employee usually initiated required action and solved problems independently. | Adapted to change, but with s reservations; sometimes unab with stressful situations in a pr | ome displayed le to deal ofessional Negative | or hostile attitude | Prioritizing occasionally neglected, resulting in important tasks not being completed. |
| [| Needs were overlooked by employee; assistance frequently required to solve problems. | manner. Refused to accept changes rea Changes were met with tensio or other ineffective behavior. | open disli dily. | ; dissatisfaction with, or ke for job apparent. | Prioritizing ineffective, frequently resulting in assigned tasks not being completed. |
| Comm | entc | | | | |

5. WORKING RELATIONSHIPS are the courtesy and tact, discretion, effective communication and positive relationships which an employee displays at work.

| A. COURTESY / TACT | B. DISCRETION | C. ORAL COMMUNICATION | D. RELATIONSHIP WITH CO-WORKERS | E. RELATIONSHIP WITH SUPERVISOR |
|--|--|--|---|---|
| Courtesy and tact demonstrated consistently. | There were no known violations of job-related confidentiality, nor other | Communicated effectively orally; attention to other speaker(s) apparent. | Willing to help co-workers without being asked; | Always accepted constructive criticism and |
| Courtesy and tact usually displayed. | inappropriate discussions of job matters. | Oral and listening skills usually effective. | demonstrated ability to work as a positive team member. | suggestions of supervisor. |
| Behavior seen as tactless/ discourteous; difficult to | There were some violations of confidentiality. | Talked too much or not enough to complete work | Willing to help co-workers only if specifically asked to | constructive criticism and most suggestions of supervisor. |
| work with. | There was evidence that confidentiality was not properly observed. | effectively; poor listening skills. | do so. Unwilling or unable to help co-workers; not an effective team member. | Did not accept constructive criticism or suggestions of supervisor; was argumentative. |

Comments: _

6. DEPENDABILITY/INITIATIVE - getting required work done with a minimum of supervision following instructions.

| Α. | SUPERVISION REQUIRED | B. FOLLOWING ORAL AND WRITTEN INSTRUCTIONS |
|----|---|---|
| | Appropriate minimum supervision was required. | Instructions were followed; employee typically needed instructions only once. |
| | Frequent supervision required to ensure work was completed. | Instructions were followed with some errors; repeating of instructions was necessary. |
| | Excessive supervision required to ensure assigned work was completed. | Instructions were frequently not followed and/or needed to be repeated frequently. |

Comments: _____

| 7. | PERSONAL APPEARANCE consists of the personal appearance of the employee. | WORK AREA EFFICIENCY consists of orderliness and organization of the work area for ultimate efficiency. |
|----|--|---|
| | Employee was well-groomed and dressed appropriately for the workplace | Work area was always orderly and organized for efficiency. |
| | Employee was usually well-groomed; at times dressed inappropriately for the workplace. | Some untidiness and disorganization evident which has resulted in some inefficiency. |
| | Employee exhibited grooming problems and/or dressed inappropriately for the workplace. | Work area was untidy and disorganized which has led to inefficiency. |
| Со | mments: | Comments: |

9. USE OF EQUIPMENT is the correct operation and maintenance of equipment expected of employee. (Note: Evaluator may wish to indicate kinds of equipment, i.e., vehicles, etc..) Employee displayed appropriate knowledge of use and maintenance of equipment. Better judgment and knowledge was needed in using equipment. Assigned equipment was used carelessly or inappropriately.

Comments:

| 10. SAFETY PRACTICES | |
|---|---|
| [| Safe working procedures were followed, potential hazards were corrected; had accident-free history. |
| Generally followed safe working procedures. | |
| [| Did not follow safe working procedures; occasionally took potentially unsafe shortcuts. |

Comments:

Pqtfqpkc'J kmu'Ek{ 'Schoolu Classified Employee Performance Report

Page 3

| 14 CANUTATION (for Custo dial Food Compiler Justice of Aids 9, Other Student Contract Delated Desitions) | | | | |
|--|--|---|--|--|
| 11. SANITATION (for Custodial, Food Service, Instructional Aide & Other Student Contact Related Positions): | | | | |
| Used approved sanitation procedures; spotted unsanitary conditions and sought to correct. NOT APPLICABLE Sanitation was at an acceptable level; expected amount of care was taken. | | | | |
| Comments: | | | | |
| 12. FOR STUDENT CONTACT RELATED POSITI | ONS: | | | |
| A. LEARNING ENVIRONMENT | B. MOTIVATION | C. STUDENT CONTROL | | |
| Worked well with students individually and in small groups to meet their needs. | Used positive reinforcements with students; motivated and encouraged students to achieve. | Demonstrated consistency in assisting staff to maintain and control student behavior. | | |
| Worked well with some students to meet their needs. | At times used positive reinforcement with students; inconsistent in encouragement of students. | Demonstrated some ability to assist staff to maintain and control classroom behavior, but waited for teacher to | | |
| Did not work well with students. | Little or no use of positive reinforcement or encouragement to succeed. | direct before taking action. Was not able to maintain and control student behavior. | | |
| NOT APPLICABLE | | | | |
| Comments: | | | | |
| OVERALL EMPLOYEE RATING: The Overall Rating best describes the overall performance of the employee. | | | | |
| At this time, the overall performance m | At this time, the overall performance meets or exceeds the standards and requirements of Nordonia Schools. | | | |
| At this time, the performance needs improvement and is deficient in meeting the standards and requirements of Nordonia Schools. <i>(INITIATE IMPROVEMENT PLAN)</i> | | | | |

The performance of this employee is not meeting required standards of Nordonia Schools and is deemed unsatisfactory. *(INITIATE SEVERE CONCERN PLAN)*

RECOMMENDATIONS AND COMMENDATIONS: (Include explanations of ratings. Use additional page, if necessary.)

Employee's signature on performance report does indicates that the employee has seen, discussed, and been provided a copy of the report. Employee's signature does not necessarily imply agreement with the conclusions of the rater.

Signature of Employee: _____

Date: _____

Signature of Evaluator: _____

Date: _____